Collision Tumor at The Esophagogastric Junction: a Case Report
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Introduction
Collision tumors represent a coexistence of two adjacent but histologically distinct tumors without histologic admixture in an organ. The occurrence of CT (collision tumor) at the EGJ (esophagogastric junction) is rare, with few cases reported in the literature. Therefore, reports evaluating treatment and survival of this group are scarce.

In this article, we report a collision case between esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma at the EGJ, operated in Abdomino-Pelvic Surgery Division of Brazilian National Cancer Institute.

Case Report
A thirty-seven year-old Caucasian man with loss of weight history, retrosternal burning and dysphagia for solid food in the last two months was registered in our hospital in May 2000. He had history of smoking in the last 15 years (30 packets/year) and moderate alcohol consumption. There were no abnormal findings in the physical examination, except for anemia in the conjunctiva.

We did an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy which showed an ulcerated and narrowing lesion with 5cm in length at the lower esophagus, occupying 60 percent of its circumference and invading the EGJ. A diagnosis of moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) was made by biopsy. Computed tomography of the chest demonstrated abnormal wall thickening of distal esophagus, while abdominal scan showed no evidence of metastasis.

We did a subtotal transhiatal esophagogastrectomy and reconstruction with gastric tube. The patient had a good recovery and was discharged on the 14th postoperative day.

Gross examination of the resected specimen showed ulcerated tumor, measuring 7.5 x 6.0 x 0.6cm occupying the lower esophagus and EGJ (Figure 1). Thirty-seven lymph nodes were isolated, being 20 in right cardial, eight in left cardial, five from mediastinum and four situated on the hepatic pedicle.

Microscopic analysis of the esophageal tumor revealed moderately differentiated SCC invading the adventitia layer. The EGJ tumor was a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma invading the serosa.
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These two tumors collided at the EGJ, but there was no intermingling (Figure 2). There were neoplastic emboli in lymphatic vessels and perineural invasion, besides metastatic adenocarcinoma in two right cardial lymph nodes and metastatic SCC in two other right cardial lymph nodes.

The patient presented disease recurrence with bilateral pleural metastasis seven months after surgery, and died on the 11th postoperative month.

Discussion

CT represents the coexistence in the same organ of two adjacent but histologically distinct tumors without histologic admixture.

These tumors have been described in various organs of the gastrointestinal tract, including esophagus, stomach, liver, small bowel and rectum, besides other organs as brain, ovary, uterus, lung, thyroid, bone, kidney, adrenal gland, skin and lymph nodes.1–4 Most of them were described in case reports.

CT in esophagus and stomach can present many different histological combinations. In the esophagus we have as examples: SCC and leiomyoma,5 adenocarcinoma and oat cell carcinoma6 or with large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma,7 arising these last two CT in a Barrett esophagus. In the stomach, there are reports of adenocarcinoma coexisting with lymphoma,8 carcinoid tumor,9 Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST),10 and even gastrinoma.11

The mechanism of CTs is uncertain and some hypotheses attempt to elucidate its origin.12 The simplest explanation is an accidental meeting of two tumors. Other more interesting hypotheses are: a single carcinogenic agent may interact with two neighboring tissues, inducing the development of tumors of different histologic types in the same organ (i.e. association of Helicobacter pylori with the synchronous occurrence of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma and adenocarcinoma of the stomach), and another possibility is alteration of the local environment by as first tumor promoting the development of a second tumor (i.e. the development of SCC of the esophagus adjacent to a partially obstructing leiomyoma5 or a carcinoid tumor can produce substances which stimulate the growth of a second tumor in the vicinity11).

The occurrence of collision between esophageal SCC and adenocarcinoma at the EGJ is very uncommon, with only 10 reports in the literature.14–23

In 1919, Meyer24 defined a CT as the accidental meeting and interpenetration of two histologically different tumors from independent primary sites. In 1961, Dodge25 described the first case of a cardia collision carcinoma and proposed three criteria for its diagnosis: 1) the two components should show at least partial topographical separation; 2) the squamous component should be on the esophageal side of the tumor and the adenocarcinoma component on the gastric side; and 3) there should be no evidence of an intermediate histologic structure between the two components in the collision zone. Regarding this last criterion, Wanke17 and Spagnolo,19 considered that at the collision zone there would be an intermingling or gradual transition between both components.

In our patient, areas of squamous differentiation...
were present in normal esophageal epithelium, while other areas of glandular differentiation were situated in normal gastric epithelium. Both carcinomas were moderately differentiated and collided without intermingling at the EGJ level. Therefore, pathology findings satisfied all Dodge’s criteria.

Most CTs at the EGJ are diagnosed on examination of the excised surgical specimens, with the second tumor being discovered as an incidental finding. If a preoperative diagnosis can be done, the patient’s prognosis may be improved by the selection of neoadjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy. In a previous report, CT was treated according to a neoadjuvant chemotherapy trial protocol for SCC, with two courses of cisplatin and etoposide, followed transhiatal esophagectomy. Survival after surgery was only 6 months. However, in the case of our patient, treated only by surgery, global outlife was 11 months. As the reports in literature are very scarce, there is not a consensus about the best treatment to be established in such cases.

CT needs to be distinguished from composite tumors, typically exemplified by adenosquamous carcinoma. Although each of these tumors presents different histological components, CT is originated from different clones, whereas composite tumor results from a common stem cell. In the collision, there is no admixture between the two adjacent tumors, whereas a composite tumor shows a close admixture of two different cell types without an interface defining histological components.

The literature regarding CT consists of a few case reports, most of which are based on histologic appearance and immunohistochemistry. In 2004, Milne did a molecular biology study, where the analysis of p53 gene mutation and loss of heterozygosity in two CT showed that tumor components presented identical mutation in this gene, which contradicted the biclonal origin of these components. Therefore, these two cases classified as CT based on histologic appearance did not present compatible molecular findings. Although analyzing few cases, he concluded that it is not possible to recognize a CT only by immunohistologic characteristics. Indeed, if this is true, the occurrence of CT is probably far rarer than referred in the literature.
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